

Contents

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Policy Context	3
3.0	Background	5
4.0	Planning Requirements	7
5.0	On-Site Provision of Affordable Housing	10
	Space Standards	. 10
	Clustering, Tenure and Dwelling Mix	. 11
	Nomination and Allocation Process	. 14
6.0	Off-Site Affordable Housing Provision and Commuted Sums	. 15
7.0	Funding Arrangements and Transfer Values	. 17
	Transfer Values for Nil-Grant Social Housing	. 17
	Transfer Values for Nil-Grant Intermediate Housing	19
	Calculating Commuted Sums	. 21
8.0	Section 106 Agreements	. 22
9.0	Affordable Housing Exception Sites	. 25
10.0	Development Viability	. 29
Appei	ndix A –Transfer Values for Social Rented Dwellings	1
Appei	ndix B – Data Inputs Required for Financial Viability Appraisals	1



1.0 Introduction

- 1.1. The purpose of this Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) is to support and provide further direction on implementation of the affordable housing policies contained within the adopted (March 2024) Replacement Local Development Plan (RLDP). It outlines how affordable housing should be delivered through the planning system throughout Bridgend County Borough. New affordable housing must meet 'housing need' as identified in the Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) or respond to a local need identified by the Local Housing Authority (LHA), while enabling placemaking-led sustainable development. This multi-faceted approach is key to ensuring balanced, socially cohesive and sustainable communities.
- 1.2. This SPG will be a material consideration in the determination of all planning applications for residential development including applications for renewal of consents. Once adopted, it will update and replace the previous SPG 13: Affordable Housing (2015).
- 1.3. Anyone wishing to submit an application for residential development within Bridgend County Borough is urged to consider this SPG and to contact the Local Planning Authority (LPA) in advance of submitting an application, to discuss the issues that are raised in this document on a site-specific basis.
- 1.4. This SPG provides specific guidance on:
 - Affordable housing requirements for residential developments, including the level of provision by location along with the type, tenure, size and standard of affordable housing dwellings required;
 - Sustainable clustering of affordable housing and the requirement for all developments to comply with sustainable placemaking principles;
 - The use of planning obligations (via section 106 (s106) agreements) to secure affordable housing provision for the lifetime of the development;
 - The nomination process for Registered Social Landlords (RSLs);

- Definitions of nomination rights and qualifying households (incorporating local housing need and local connections);
- The RLDP's approach to off-site provision and financial contributions in lieu of on-site provision of affordable housing;
- The use of Social Housing Grant (SHG) to deliver affordable housing in relation to the planning system;
- Transfer values for nil-grant affordable housing provision;
- How issues surrounding development viability may be considered in respect of affordable housing provision; and
- Affordable housing exception sites.



2.0 Policy Context

- 2.1 The National Planning Policy context for the provision of affordable housing through the planning system is set out in Future Wales: the National Plan 2040, Planning Policy Wales (PPW) and Technical Advice Note 2 (TAN) Planning for Affordable Housing.
- 2.2 Future Wales: The National Plan is the national development framework setting out the direction for development in Wales to 2040. 'Delivering Affordable Homes' is a key policy within Future Wales (Policy 7) and it is recognised that the planning system has a long established role in this respect. Planning authorities are required to develop strong evidence-based policy frameworks to deliver affordable housing for those who cannot meet their housing needs on the open market. Co-ordinating the delivery of housing to meet identified needs is deemed an important task for the planning system in order to engender socially mixed communities that offer a range of housing types and tenures that cater for varied lifestyles.
- 2.3 **PPW (Edition 12)** highlights the important contribution that affordable housing makes to community regeneration, social inclusion and the development of sustainable communities. It requires LPAs to have a full understanding of the level of affordable housing need within their area, alongside development viability and the availability of public subsidy. PPW requires development plans to include a target for affordable housing that is based on the LHMA and takes account of deliverability and viability considerations. In order to deliver this target, site capacity thresholds and proportions should be set that require residential proposals to provide affordable housing. This applies to both allocated sites and unallocated (windfall) sites, after having duly considered viability to ensure residential sites remain deliverable.
- 2.4 **TAN 2: Planning and Affordable Housing** provides practical guidance on the role of the planning system in delivering affordable housing. The TAN requires LPAs to include an affordable housing target in the development plan (based

on the LHMA), indicate how the target will be achieved using identified policy approaches and monitor delivery of affordable housing against that target. TAN 2 also outlines the role of RSLs, planning obligations and conditions in securing affordable housing; specifying a strong presumption in favour of affordable housing being provided on the application site to engender socially mixed communities. TAN 2 defines affordable housing as,

"housing where there are secure mechanisms in place to ensure that it is accessible to those who cannot afford market housing, both on first occupation and for subsequent occupiers. However, it is recognised that some schemes may provide for staircasing to full ownership and where this is the case there must be secure arrangements in place to ensure the recycling of capital receipts to provide replacement affordable housing" (WG, 2006, para 5.1).

- 2.5 There are two main types of affordable housing as defined by TAN 2:
 - Social Rented Housing provided by local authorities and RSLs under the Welsh Government (WG) Rent Standard.
 - Intermediate Housing where prices or rents are above those of social rent but below market housing prices or rents (see also para 7.9 of this SPG).
- 2.6 Cwm Taf Morgannwg Public Services Board (PSB) Local Well-being Plan 2023-28 outlines how the PSB will work together to deliver the seven well-being goals for Wales, as referenced in the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015. The Plan is framed around the sustainable development principle and focusses on addressing the underlying causes of problems, while helping to prevent them worsening or occurring in the future. There are two key objectives: Healthy Local Neighbourhoods and Sustainable and Resilient Local Neighbourhoods. Delivery of affordable housing through the planning system in areas where there is an identified housing need will significantly contribute to both objectives and foster cohesive, sustainable communities. The RLDP expresses, in land-use terms, the objectives of the Well-Being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 and priorities of the Local Well-being Plan.

3.0 Background

- 3.1 The adopted RLDP is centred on a Vision that seeks continued development of a safe, healthy and inclusive network of communities that connect more widely with the region to catalyse sustainable economic growth. The 2021 LHMA formed a key part of the adopted RLDP's evidence base to deliver against this Vision and derived aims and objectives. The scale and spatial distribution of housing need identified by the 2021 LHMA were key considerations in determining the overall level and location of housing in the adopted RLDP.
- 3.2 While additional affordable housing is needed throughout the Bridgend County Borough, this varies by Housing Market Area in terms of quantity and type. Bridgend is denoted as the Primary Key Settlement in the adopted RLDP and is identified as the highest housing need area in the 2021 LHMA. The other identified high need areas including Pencoed, Porthcawl, the Llynfi Valley and the grouped settlement of Pyle, Kenfig Hill and North Cornelly are also denoted as Main Settlements. Affordability was identified as a less significant issue in the other Valleys housing markets, although the 2021 LHMA still identified a need to diversify the housing stock in these areas and deliver smaller yet sustainable affordable housing, especially 1 bedroom provision.
- 3.3 During the Plan period, development proposals within the RLDP are expected to deliver a target total of 1,711 affordable dwellings across Bridgend County Borough in order to contribute to the level of housing need identified by the LHMA. The Plan's contribution to affordable housing provision has been robustly determined by considering the housing need identified in the LHMA alongside rigorous viability testing to ensure formulation of viable affordable housing policy thresholds and proportions. The affordable housing target only relates to sources of supply that are funded and delivered through the planning system and the Plan has made provision to deliver the affordable housing target within the designated settlement boundaries.

The 2024 LHMA has been completed since adoption of the RLDP (March 2024) and provides updated evidence to inform the appropriate mix of dwellings for new developments, particularly the types of affordable housing (namely intermediate and social rented) in short supply in different areas. The LHMA will be refreshed periodically in accordance with WG Guidance and the latest LHMA will provide the most up-to-date evidence on housing need to inform appropriate affordable housing provision on new developments. However, it must be recognised that the housing need identified in the LHMA represents the scale of the affordability gap in the market and the RLDP itself is not the only affordable housing delivery mechanism to help address such need. The Plan's contribution will therefore form part of several streams of affordable housing supply to meet this identified need, including SHG and other capital/revenue grant funded schemes, RSL self-funded schemes, reconfiguration of existing stock, private sector leasing schemes, discharge of homelessness duties into the private rented sector and re-utilisation of empty properties.



4.0 Planning Requirements

4.1 The RLDP's Sustainable Housing Strategy makes provision for 8,628 homes to meet the housing requirement of 7,575 homes. This includes delivery of a 1,711 affordable homes target over the Plan period. The strategic planning framework is set out within Strategic Policy 6 (SP6) and supported by Development Management Policies COM 1-5:

SP6: Sustainable Housing Strategy

COM1: Housing Allocations COM2: Affordable Housing

COM3: On-Site Provision of Affordable Housing

COM4: Off-Site Provision of Affordable Housing

COM5: Affordable Housing Exception Sites

- 4.2 Delivery of the affordable housing target will primarily be facilitated through the Strategic Sites and Housing Allocations detailed within COM1. Combined, these components of supply will enable 977 new affordable homes to be delivered over the RLDP period, forming part of the 1,711 affordable homes target. The remainder of the affordable housing target will be delivered through new windfall applications in addition to previous completions and existing commitments at the point of RLDP adoption.
- 4.3 COM3 outlines the affordable housing policy requirements and thresholds for residential development to contribute to meeting the affordable housing target. Policy COM3 includes area-wide and site-specific policies based on the need identified in the LHMA, together with deliverability and viability considerations. These policy differentials are illustrated in Figure 1. The area-wide affordable housing policies will ultimately be used to assess windfall residential applications, whereas the site-specific affordable housing policies will apply to specific allocations, having been informed by bespoke viability assessments. This dual faceted approach recognises the scope for different areas and sites to viably provide affordable housing, along with all other required and necessary planning contributions.

Figure 1: Affordable Housing Requirements, Bridgend County Borough **Site-Specific Affordable Housing Policies** SP2(1) - Porthcawl Waterfront 30% SP2(2) - Land South of Bridgend SP2(3) - Land West of Bridgend 20%-COM1 (5) SP2(4) - Land East of Pencoed ^L COM1(1) – Craig y Parcau, Bridgend SP2(5) - Land East of Pyle COM1(2) - Land South East of Pont Rhyd-y-cyff COM1 (2-4) 15% COM1(3) – Land South of Pont Rhyd-y-cyff COM1(4) - Land South West of Pont Rhyd-y-cyff · COM1(5) - Former Cooper Standard Site, Ewenny Road, Maesteg **Area-Wide Affordable Housing Policies** (Applicable to Windfall Sites) 30% - Porthcawl SP2(4) SP2(5) 15% - Bridgend and Pencoed SP2(3) 10% - Valleys Gateway 0% - Llynfi, Ogmore and Garw Valleys COM1(1) - Pyle, Kenfig and Cornelly

- 4.4 Policy COM3 applies to all proposals for self-contained residential dwellings (i.e. all uses that fall within class C3 the Use Classes Order in Wales) with a capacity to deliver a net gain of 10 or more dwellings. This includes C3 residential elements of: housing sites, mixed-use developments, developments targeted at households of a prescribed age category (i.e. 'retirement' apartments), sheltered housing or extra care developments.
- 4.5 However, Policy COM3 does not apply to rural enterprise dwellings as such applications will be subject to an appropriate series of separate planning restrictions (based on the guidance detailed in TAN 6). These restrictions will require the retention of a rural enterprise dwelling for rural enterprise workers. In the event that an appropriate rural enterprise worker cannot not be found to occupy such a dwelling, eligibility would then be extended to persons who would be eligible for affordable housing under the LHA's Allocation Policy.
- 4.6 COM3 is clear that, in the event that the target percentage produces a requirement for a partial affordable dwelling, the affordable housing contribution will be rounded up to the nearest whole number. All viability testing supporting the RLDP has been conducted on this basis and this principle applies to both the area-wide and site-specific policy requirements.
- 4.7 Where a site has been subdivided, including where adjacent parcels have been brought forward separately for development, all development parcels will be aggregated and treated as a single proposal for the purposes of applying the thresholds and policies within COM3. Where such circumstances produce combined total dwelling numbers that meet or exceed the specified thresholds, an affordable housing contribution must be provided on-site in the first instance. Off-site contributions will only be accepted in this scenario provided that all criteria within COM4 are met. A financial contribution in lieu of on-site provision of affordable housing must be fully justified and equivalent in value to what would have otherwise been required on-site. These principles also apply in the event that a major housebuilder transfers part of a site to another developer or Small to Medium Enterprise (SME).

5.0 On-Site Provision of Affordable Housing

- 5.1 Policy COM3 seeks to secure appropriate on-site affordable housing provision from new residential developments in order to contribute to the affordable housing requirement set out in Policies SP6 and COM2. On-site provision is considered to be the optimal means of delivering affordable housing in order to foster sustainable, balanced, mixed tenure communities across the County Borough. For this reason, the full policy compliant percentage of affordable housing provision will be sought on-site in the first instance and there will not be a presumption in favour of off-site contributions.
- 5.2 For outline planning applications, a commitment to the delivery of affordable housing will be necessary where applicable, to be secured through a s106 agreement. This commitment will include details of the dwelling types, sizes, standards and tenures that must be accommodated on any subsequent reserved matters application. The siting and layout of those dwellings must then be detailed in any subsequent reserved matters application following liaison with the LPA. The onus will be on the applicant to ensure that the respective affordable dwellings are identifiable on the site layout plan in order for the extant commitment to be met.

Space Standards

5.3 WG specifies that "all affordable housing, including that provided through planning obligations and planning conditions, must meet the Welsh Government's development quality standards" (PPW Edition 12, para 4.2.30). This requirement applies to both social rented and intermediate dwellings. Developers should refer to Welsh Development Quality Requirements (WDQR) 2021 (or subsequent updates thereof), which clarify that:

"New affordable homes delivered through planning agreements (under section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) and planning conditions will only be required to meet the Appendix A and Appendix B "space requirements" for agreements entered into after 01 October 2021 (WG, 2021, p.1)".

- 5.4 Applicants must demonstrate that proposals for all new affordable housing (including social rented and intermediate dwellings) meet these WDQR standards. The onus will be on the applicant to demonstrate compliance by clearly annotating the plans and confirming in writing that the proposal complies with these requirements. All family homes should also have a private garden which is safe for small children to play in, convenient to use, of sufficient size and is easy to maintain.
- 5.5 The requirement to achieve WDQR compliance also applies to rehabilitated general needs affordable homes. WDQR 2021 states:

"Where homes are being refurbished, providers should (if practicable and cost effective to do so) take all opportunities to meet the standard, but where this is not possible homes must have adequate space and facilities for everyday living (ibid.)".

This guidance will apply to refurbishment proposals that result in a net gain of 10 or more dwellings where the requirements to provide affordable housing under Policy COM3 are met. The applicant will need to demonstrate that the resultant affordable dwellings achieve WDQR compliance in the first instance. Refurbishment proposals that would result in non-WDQR compliant dwellings must be fully justified based on site-specific constraints and/or viability restrictions, while also demonstrating the dwellings will still provide adequate space and facilities for everyday living.

Clustering, Tenure and Dwelling Mix

5.6 Large concentrations of affordable housing can lead to stigmatisation, social disintegration and unstable communities. Supporting paragraph 5.3.28 to Policy COM3 therefore provides further guidance on sustainable clustering of affordable housing within wider residential and mixed-use developments. It is clarified that "affordable housing should not be obviously segregated through layout, location or design" and "integrated into the overall development through separate clusters of no more than ten affordable units".

- 5.7 A 10-unit cluster is considered to be the maximum appropriate size for a sustainable cluster of affordable housing on a mixed-tenure housing development. This has been informed by routine discussions with RSL housing managers that operate across the region. Affordable housing clusters of more than 10 units can otherwise become increasingly unconducive to the delivery and maintenance of balanced, mixed tenure communities. Clusters of affordable housing should be carefully dispersed throughout the development to avoid over-concentration of single tenures in any part of the layout plan and avoid obvious tenure segregation. In instances where development proposals exceed Policy COM3's minimum affordable housing requirements, due to grant support or otherwise, a more flexible approach to clustering may be acceptable where justified, providing this does not jeopardise sustainable integration of affordable units. Equally, where proposals demonstrate they have sought to deliver sustainably high residential densities in accordance with Policy COM6, it may be considered appropriate to abut limited discrete affordable tenure clusters. This may include, for example, one cluster of social rented units abutted to one cluster of Low Cost Home Ownership units, providing the affordable units are sustainably integrated into the wider development.
- The precise mix of affordable dwellings in terms of tenure, size and type will vary geographically and over time. In the first instance, applicants should consult the findings of the latest LHMA in order to inform dwelling mixes or otherwise ensure proposals respond to pressing housing need identified by the LHA. In all cases, applicants must demonstrate and justify how they have arrived at a particular mix of housing. The LPA will consider whether the proposal responds to identified housing need and if it contributes sufficiently towards the objective of creating mixed communities. In some instances, a revision to the mix of housing may be necessary to render the development acceptable in planning terms. The LPA will work collaboratively with developers to optimise affordable housing provision in these respects, having regard to all material considerations.

- 5.9 There is a high and longstanding need for sustainable one bedroom accommodation in the social rented sector. One bedroom walk-up flats with no communal spaces are often the most sustainable means of meeting this need. This is due to their high propensity for seamless integration into the street scene, lack of communal spaces to facilitate effective tenancy management and potential for the ground floor to accommodate households with mobility restrictions. In the first instance, developers should strive to meet any identified need for one bedroom social rented provision via walk-up flats.
- 5.10 Policy COM6 specifies that residential development should seek to reflect a density of 50 dwellings per hectare in the first instance, particularly along public and mass transport hubs to maximise opportunities for transit orientated development. A lower density of development will only be permitted where the criteria within Policy COM6 are met, and, in all cases, Good Design must be utilised to maximise the density of development. PPW defines Good Design as being "not just about the architecture of a building but the relationship between all elements of the natural and built environment and between people and places" (WG, 2024, para 3.3). PPW provides five key aspects of Good Design (access, environmental sustainability, character, community safety and movement), which should be applied to all development proposals, at all scales.
- 5.11 It is acknowledged that it may not always be possible to meet the entirety of identified one bedroom social rented need via walk-up flats in order to achieve the policy requirements of COM6. Smaller quantities of flats accessed via communal entrances may therefore be acceptable where they are well integrated into the street scene and do not result in poorly assimilated blocks of social rented flats. Where communally accessed social rented flats are proposed, they should utilise similar scale and massing to other private dwellings within the site to enable design synergies. For example, three storey blocks of flats can be designed in a similar external aesthetic manner to three storey town houses to aid visual integration. Conversely, prominent blocks of social rented flats sited within isolated extremities of site layout plans should

be avoided. Developments should ultimately seek to minimise visual tenure separation by assimilating affordable housing into the wider development through Good Design to promote tenure indistinctiveness.

Nomination and Allocation Process

- 5.12 Affordable housing secured through the planning system should normally be transferred to a Council nominated RSL or to the Council itself and must be subject to allocation through the Council's Housing Allocation Policy.
- 5.13 Details of the nominated RSL will be provided by the LHA to the developer prior to commencement of development. The LHA will nominate the RSL for all affordable housing secured through the planning system or take direct ownership of such dwellings in consultation with the developer. No particular RSL will be named in any s106 agreement. The LHA will manage the nomination process in consultation with the developer. This arrangement will also provide flexibility to safeguard delivery of affordable housing in the future should the ownership of the site or the RSL need to change prior to completion of the development.
- 5.14 RSLs should not assume they have been or will be nominated to purchase nil-grant affordable housing secured through the planning system on any particular development site unless this has been confirmed in writing in advance by the LHA. The process for nominating an RSL will be determined and managed by the LHA in consultation with the developer. Proportionate distribution of nil-grant s106 dwellings will be sought across RSL partners over the RLDP period.



6.0 Off-Site Affordable Housing Provision and Commuted Sums

- 6.1 Policy COM3 is clear that, "affordable housing will be expected to be delivered on-site in the first instance and off-site provision and/or financial contributions will only be accepted in lieu of on-site provision in exceptional circumstances". As such, there is no presumption in favour of deviating from full, policy compliant affordable housing provision delivered on-site. Robust evidence must be provided to demonstrate any exceptional circumstances that may warrant off-site provision or the payment of financial contributions in lieu of on-site provision in accordance with Policy COM4.
- 6.2 The circumstances where off-site provision or commuted sums may be appropriate will be exceptional by their very nature and this SPG does not seek to provide an exhaustive list. Where these exceptions relate to site-specific constraints the onus is on the developer to demonstrate why it would not be possible to utilise Good Design principles to seamlessly integrate affordable and market dwellings by using similar massing, scale and design.
- 6.3 In the event that a completed s106 agreement provides for affordable units to be transferred to an RSL but the LHA is unable to successfully nominate an RSL to acquire those units, then a commuted sum option may be acceptable as a last resort. Once all avenues for transferring the affordable dwellings to an RSL or the Council have been exhausted, the developer would then be able to treat those dwellings as market dwellings subject to the payment of an equivalent sum to the Council in lieu of on-site provision.
- 6.4 In all cases, any off-site provision or commuted sum agreed as a last resort must be equivalent to achieving full policy compliance on-site. If off-site provision is deemed acceptable, the resultant affordable dwellings must be of the same standard, size and tenure otherwise required on-site. If a financial contribution is deemed acceptable, the commuted sum payable to the Council must be of an equivalent value to the developer's financial contribution required to deliver the affordable housing on-site (refer to paragraph 7.12).



7.0 Funding Arrangements and Transfer Values

- 7.1 Plan-wide and site-specific viability testing has demonstrated that the affordable housing contributions specified within Policy COM3 are viable without subsidy. The RLDP's contribution to meeting affordable housing need is therefore not grant dependent. Developers should not assume that SHG will be made available to support the development of affordable housing on private developments. Developers are expected to provide the equivalent subsidy to deliver affordable housing and this will be factored into the transfer price for each affordable dwelling based on its size, type and tenure.
- 7.2 It is recognised that affordable housing schemes proposed by RSLs will typically seek to deliver a higher percentage of affordable housing than required by COM3 and are often 100% affordable housing developments supported by grant. In such instances, the RSL will still be required to enter in a s106 agreement to secure RLDP policy compliance as a minimum. This is necessary to safeguard affordable housing delivery in the event that the site changes ownership in the future. An affordable housing led scheme will also not be exempt from other planning obligations necessary to achieve wider RLDP policy compliance. Such obligations may include parks (such as equipped playing areas and recreation space), education provision and/or highways improvements.

Transfer Values for Nil-Grant Social Housing

- 7.3 Transfer values for nil-grant social housing secured through the planning system have historically been linked to WG's Acceptable Cost Guidance (ACG). The developer's contribution has conventionally equated to the 58% of ACG grant intervention rate that would otherwise be utilised for SHG funded schemes. In essence, the RSL or the Council would purchase each social rented dwelling from the developer for 42% of the full ACG.
- 7.4 ACGs were historically set to include both a land value component and a works component, varying by dwelling size, type and geographical band. They

were used as a common reference point for s106 transfer values as they were considered to represent typical costs for building social rented dwellings. However, WG ceased updating ACGs in this manner from 2021 due to a change in the model for determining grant funding. Works costs are now the only element WG prescribe, with the land value component determined via a bespoke assessment for each SHG scheme. The 'works only' ACGs now published by WG are therefore unsuitable to set nil-grant affordable housing transfer values for s106 schemes. This is because they do not include a land value component and should only be used in conjunction with WG's Standard Viability Model (SVM) to inform SHG funded schemes.

- 7.5 The final 'land and works' ACGs published by WG in August 2021 remain the last reference point to set nil-grant affordable housing transfer values within s106 agreements. However, these values are becoming increasingly outdated and a new methodology is required. Bridgend County Borough Council participated in a Viability Sub Group convened by the South East Wales Strategic Planning Group (representing the ten LPAs in the South East Wales Region) to determine a new methodology. This Group aimed to set new transfer values for nil-grant social housing secured through s106. The Group's preferred and most pragmatic option was to continue to use the 2021 ACG values as a baseline and apply an annual uplift in line with the WG's maximum published social rent inflation. This method allows for indexation linked to annual social rental increases, provides a regular mechanism to update these values in a transparent way and also promotes regional consistency. This methodology has been proposed for use in this draft SPG, although the derived transfer values are specific to Bridgend County Borough.
- 7.6 The resultant 2025 transfer values are detailed in Appendix A and will be updated as necessary. In practice, the nominated RSL or the Council would pay the developer the 'Transfer Values' detailed in Table 1 or Table 2 of Appendix A (dictated by the size of the development). These transfer values are 42% of the uplifted ACG figures, following the longstanding intervention rate used for nil-grant s106 developments. The values vary according to the

dwelling type, size and Housing Market Area (formerly 'band', now re-defined to reflect the eight Housing Market Areas referenced in the LHMA).

Transfer Values for Nil-Grant Intermediate Housing

- 7.7 Successive LHMAs have found that 70% of Open Market Value (OMV) produces a 'usefully affordable' intermediate product in many parts of the County Borough. However, due to wider house price to income ratios, a 60% OMV product is typically required within Porthcawl in order to meet the needs of newly forming households. All viability testing to support the adopted RLDP has been undertaken on this basis and developers are therefore required to subsidise purchase of intermediate units by 40% of OMV in Porthcawl and 30% of OMV in all other parts of Bridgend County Borough. Households must be either nominated by or nominated in accordance with criteria set by the LHA for intermediate dwellings based on an assessment of housing need.
- In practice, the nominated RSL or nominated household would purchase each intermediate unit from the developer for 60% of OMV (in Porthcawl) or 70% of OMV (elsewhere in Bridgend County Borough). The OMV for each dwelling is to be calculated based on equivalent sales values achieved on the respective site. In the unlikely event that there are no similar property types on the development site to inform the OMV, the transfer value is to instead be based on new build Land Registry Price Paid data within the wider vicinity of the site (with an appropriate new build uplift being applied if there is insufficient new build transactional data available to generate robust average prices).
- 7.9 This transfer arrangement applies to all forms of intermediate accommodation, including:

Low Cost Home Ownership – where the nominated purchaser buys a home at a percentage of its OMV (i.e. 70%) and the remaining cost of the property (i.e. 30%) would be subsidised by the developer and effectively held as an interest free equity loan by the nominated RSL or Council.

Intermediate Rent – where rents are set above social rents and below market rents.

Shared Ownership – where the nominated household purchases a share of the property and pays rent to the nominated RSL or Council on the remainder.

- 7.10 The most appropriate form of intermediate accommodation should be determined based on the findings of the latest LHMA and/or needs identified by the LHA. However, the fundamental requirement is to produce a usefully affordable intermediate product for households in need across Bridgend County Borough. In order to be considered 'usefully affordable', intermediate products should seek to achieve a mortgage or rent that is no more than 25% of gross lower quartile household income within the locality. This is based on the affordability criteria justified within the 2024 LHMA, defined in accordance with WG LHMA Guidance (2022), although is a guide rather than a set target. In practice, there can be an overlap between households who can afford different forms of intermediate tenures. The most appropriate intermediate tenures will be determined on a site by site basis, taking local housing market conditions into account. The precise nature of any intermediate tenures to be delivered will require detailed consideration of local house prices, private rents, social rents and housing need and should be agreed with the LHA in the first instance.
- 7.11 Intermediate provision will most likely comprise a mix of Low Cost Home Ownership and Intermediate Rent tenures as they are most likely to produce 'usefully affordable' end products. The scope to introduce Shared Ownership products is likely to be more limited in most parts of Bridgend County Borough. This tenure can nevertheless be considered as a means of meeting housing need where robust evidence demonstrates Shared Ownership is capable of producing a 'usefully affordable' product in the market catchment area of the site.

Calculating Commuted Sums

7.12 Where a financial contribution in lieu of on-site affordable housing provision has been justified and deemed acceptable by the LPA, it must be secured through a s106 agreement and calculated on the following basis:

Social Rented Commuted Sum Contribution (per dwelling type) =

- **A)** Uplifted ACG x **B)** Intervention Rate x **C)** Number of Dwellings Where:
 - A) = the total uplifted ACG value for that dwelling size, type and Housing Market Area as detailed in Appendix A, Table 1 (for developments of 10 homes and under) or Table 2 (for developments of 11 homes and over).
 - B) = 58% of A), which reflects the conventional grant intervention rate and the effective subsidy the developer would otherwise be expected to provide on-site.
 - c) = the number of social rented dwellings of that size and type the developer would otherwise be expected to deliver on-site.

Intermediate Commuted Sum Contribution (per dwelling type) =

- **A)** OMV x **B)** Intervention Rate x **C)** Number of Dwellings Where:
 - A) = the OMV for each dwelling according to its size and type, based on equivalent open market sales values achieved on the respective site. If there are no similar property types on the development site to inform the OMV, the OMV is to instead be based on new build Land Registry Price Paid data within the wider vicinity of the site (with an appropriate new build uplift being applied if there is insufficient new build transactional data available to generate robust average values).
 - B) = 40% of A) in Porthcawl or 30% of A) in the remainder of Bridgend County Borough, which reflects the effective subsidy the developer would otherwise be expected to provide on-site.
 - C) = the number of intermediate dwellings of that size and type the development would otherwise be expected to deliver on-site.

8.0 Section 106 Agreements

- 8.1 S106 agreements are legal agreements between a planning authority and a landowner/developer, or undertakings offered unilaterally by a landowner/developer, that ensure certain obligations related to a development are complied with. Affordable Housing is one such type of obligation which will normally be secured by means of a legal agreement under s106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
- 8.2 S106 agreements bind the land, are registerable as a local land charge and apply to successive owners of the land. S106 agreements will typically specify the following in relation to affordable housing:
- 8.2.1 The number, type, size, layout, tenure and standard of affordable dwellings to be built on site. Provisions will also be included for those dwellings to be retained as affordable housing at least for the lifetime of the development or, in accordance with TAN2, include secure arrangements to ensure the recycling of capital receipts to provide replacement affordable housing (where applicable). The onus will be on the applicant to identify the location of the respective affordable dwellings on the site layout plan (for full planning applications) and confirm conformity to WDQR within the terms and conditions of the s106 agreement to ensure compliance. For s106 agreements entered into at outline planning application stage, a commitment to the delivery of affordable housing will be necessary where applicable. This will include details of the unit types, sizes, standards and tenures that must be accommodated on any subsequent reserved matters application. The siting of those committed affordable dwellings must then be detailed in any subsequent reserved matters application following liaison with the LPA.

8.2.2 Definitions relating to nomination rights and qualified households.

Provisions will be included to define the Council's nomination rights for qualifying households, which is the procedure whereby the Council will identify applicants from its housing list to be housed in any given affordable housing dwelling in accordance with its Housing Allocation Policy. Qualifying

households will also be defined as households that have been confirmed by the Council as being in need of affordable housing in the locality. Such households must be registered on the Common Housing Register or any waiting list held by the Council for housing need, have a life or work connection to the locality or be able to demonstrate that they are unable to meet their housing needs within the open housing market.

- 8.2.3 Trigger points when affordable housing must be provided on-site. The trigger points will conventionally be tied to the occupation of open market dwellings. Affordable housing will either need to be delivered in full on or prior to the defined trigger point or at phased stages on or before several trigger points (for larger sites).
- 8.2.4 Transfer arrangements to a Nominated RSL or the Council. Provisions will be included in the s106 agreement to confirm when details of the Nominated RSL or the Council (if the Council is to acquire any affordable dwellings), will be provided to the developer in writing by the Council (normally prior to commencement of development and following consultation with the developer). Details of the transfer price will be included in accordance with the guidance in Chapter 7 of this SPG. The point(s) by which the developer must enter into a contract for the sale of the affordable dwellings to the nominated RSL or to the Council (as applicable) will also be specified in the s106 Agreement.
- 8.2.5 Contingency arrangements for provision of financial contributions in lieu of on-site provision in exceptional circumstances. Specification of alternative arrangements will be provided if a nominated RSL or the Council declines or fails to purchase the affordable dwellings on-site within a specified period. Such contingency arrangements will firstly include nomination of an alternative RSL followed by payment of a commuted sum equivalent in value to on-site provision (as appropriate). If a commuted sum (calculated in accordance with paragraph 7.12 of this SPG) is paid to the Council in lieu of on-site provision, the developer would then be able to treat those dwellings as

market dwellings. Arrangements for other unusual circumstances such as mortgage default / mortgagee in possession scenarios will also be provided for in the s106 agreement, which is usually necessary to ensure that the affordable dwellings are mortgageable.

8.2.6 The amount and timing of any financial contribution to be paid in lieu of on-site delivery (if appropriate). The commuted sum will be calculated as per the guidance detailed in paragraph 7.12 of this SPG and will be payable at a defined trigger point or phased proportionately over several trigger points (for larger sites). The trigger points will normally be tied to the occupation of open market dwellings.



9.0 Affordable Housing Exception Sites

- 9.1 The adopted RLDP seeks to promote sustainable development through its strategic settlement hierarchy. This identifies sustainable growth within settlement boundaries to ensure that the open countryside, as a finite resource, is protected from uncontrolled and unsustainable development. The adopted RLDP includes provision to deliver the affordable housing target of 1,711 affordable dwellings (as specified in SP6) within the designated settlement boundaries in accordance with placemaking principles.
- 9.2 The RLDP also provides a framework to enable affordable housing exception sites via Policy COM5. This policy recognises that there may be specific factors prohibitive to delivery of affordable housing and is intended to act as a 'pressure valve' to meet demonstrably pressing housing need. COM5 provides an exception to the general housing provision policies of the RLDP which do not otherwise permit new housing outside of settlement boundaries or on certain sites allocated for other specific uses within settlement boundaries. Nevertheless, Policy COM5 is not intended to be a mechanism to deliver significant quantities of affordable housing within unsustainable countryside locations or other inappropriate locations within settlement boundaries. The policy seeks to enable sustainable developments that are small in scale, exceptional in circumstance and respond specifically to a pressing housing need identified by the LHMA and/or LHA. An unsustainable site will not become sustainable in planning terms on the sole basis that an applicant intends to deliver 100% affordable housing on that site. In order for an exception site to be considered acceptable, the applicant must clearly demonstrate that the criteria within COM5 are met in the first instance, while also evidencing conformity with wider RLDP policies as a whole. The RLDP's affordable housing target does not factor in potential supply stemming from exception sites for these reasons.
- 9.3 The primary consideration outlined within Criterion 1 of Policy COM5 is that "the proposal meets an identified local need that cannot be satisfied on

alternative sites within the locality's identified settlement boundary". In the first instance, the applicant must clearly document what identified need the proposal seeks to meet, providing written confirmation evidencing support from the LHA. The applicant must then demonstrate why that need cannot be satisfied on appropriate, alternative sites within the local settlement boundary. This applies whether the proposal is to develop a site in open countryside adjoining an existing settlement or to develop a site allocated for another use within an existing settlement boundary. The applicant should clearly evidence which alternative sites have been considered within the respective settlement boundary and justify why they have been discounted. This approach is necessary to robustly demonstrate why the pressing, identified need cannot be realistically met on alternative sites within the locality's identified settlement boundary in order to fulfil Criterion 1.

- 9.4 Criterion 2 of Policy COM5 also requires proposals to represent a logical extension to the existing settlement at a scale appropriate to and in keeping with the character of the settlement. Applicants must demonstrate that the development will promote legibility, which is a coherent pattern of development that reinforces local identify and facilitates well defined enclosure character. This latter point is particularly important for exception sites adjoining and edging settlement boundaries in order to retain a sense of rural fringe. Houses should front such rural edges to clearly demarcate where urban space meets countryside. Exception sites should be sensitively designed at a locally appropriate scale and provide pedestrian connections to an existing core of services and facilities to enable assimilation within the existing settlement. Exception sites that are remote relative to the existing settlement, which propose divorced concentrations of affordable housing and/or are of a scale and design that conflicts with local scale and character would be contrary to this criterion.
- 9.5 Criterion 2 of Policy COM5 also restricts exception sites to proposals comprising no more than ten affordable dwellings. This 10 unit cluster principle has been informed by routine discussions with housing managers in

terms of the appropriate size for a sustainable cluster of affordable homes. This principle follows best practice to disperse affordable housing across larger multi-tenure housing developments and is therefore equally applicable to an exception site. Affordable housing clusters of more than 10 units can otherwise become increasingly unconducive to the delivery and maintenance of balanced, mixed tenure communities. This 10 dwelling limit applies to all Local Settlements as defined by Policy SF1.

- 9.6 Policy COM5 does however provide some flexibility to the 10 dwelling cluster for proposals within or adjoining Tier 1 (i.e. Bridgend) and Tier 2 Settlements (i.e. Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley, Porthcawl, Pencoed and Pyle, Kenfig Hill and North Cornelly). Exception site proposals for more than ten affordable dwellings can be considered in these settlements where applicants clearly justify the need to depart from a ten-unit cluster in the context of the wider environ and in response to acute local housing need identified by the LHMA and/or LHA. In order to substantiate the need to develop more than ten affordable homes, applicants must provide a robust affordable housing statement following the guidance detailed in RLDP supporting paragraph 5.3.35, while demonstrating Criteria A, B and C of Policy COM5 have been met. This is fundamental to evidence how a larger exception site would integrate with nearby existing communities in a manner that prevents stigmatisation and non-inclusivity, while maximising opportunities for different household structures to reside cohesively.
- 9.7 Criterion 3 of Policy COM5 requires any exception site to be 'in a sustainable location, within or adjoining an existing settlement boundary with reasonable access to at least a basic range of local community services and facilities'. Proposals that would necessitate future residents to be unduly reliant on the private car will not be in accord with this criterion. These include sites divorced from existing settlements, within remote locations and/or lacking the ability to provide suitable active travel connections. In all cases, Policy COM5 is clear that exception sites must provide enhanced active travel links to connect to the Active Travel Network (walking and cycling routes in designated areas

throughout the County Borough as shown on the Active Travel Network Map) and/or nearest commercial centre as appropriate. Safe, direct pedestrian and cycle access to local convenience retail provision and community facilities (such as a primary school) is essential so that residents are not forced to travel to other destinations to meet their basic needs. Applicants should demonstrate how these policy requirements have been considered and will be achieved when proposing any exception site to meet pressing, locally identified housing need.

- 9.8 In demonstrating Criteria 4 of Policy COM5, applicants should clearly reference how the proposed mix of dwellings, including house types, sizes and tenures has been informed to address the identified housing need for the locality. Clear linkages should be made to the latest LHMA and evidence that the proposal has been devised following dialogue with the LHA should also be provided. Applicants will also be required to enter in a s106 agreement to provide mechanisms ensuring the dwellings are accessible to those who cannot afford market housing, both on first occupation and for subsequent occupiers in accordance with Criterion 5 of Policy COM5.
- 9.9 As specified within Policy COM5, any form of market housing, which is accommodation that does not conform with the definition of 'affordable housing' set out in paragraph 2.4 of this SPG, will not be permitted on exception sites.

10.0 Development Viability

- 10.1 The thresholds for and percentages of affordable housing provision have been set with regard to the housing need identified within the LHMA, the Plan-Wide Viability Assessment and site-specific viability testing. Deviation from the requirements set out in Policy COM3 should not therefore be necessary and will only be acceptable in exceptional circumstances.
- 10.2 For allocations supported by site-specific viability appraisals at the plan making stage, applicants citing viability issues must clearly demonstrate what variables have changed that may warrant deviation from Policy COM3. Appropriate supporting evidence must be provided to substantiate any such claim and this evidence must be comprehensive. For example, it would not be acceptable to solely highlight a change in one variable (such as build costs), without clearly evidencing how other variables (such as house prices), may have also changed. A comprehensive refreshed viability appraisal must therefore be provided, with all inputs and assumptions being robustly evidenced. Unsubstantiated commentary will not be acceptable.



- 10.3 For windfall sites, applicants must robustly demonstrate any site-specific constraints, abnormal costs and/or other viability challenges that could necessitate a reduction from the area-wide policies set out within Policy COM3. The LPA will work collaboratively with developers in such instances to evaluate site-specific evidence. Should the LPA agree that robustly evidenced, site-specific issues adversely affect a site's viability at full policy compliance, an appropriate percentage of on-site affordable housing provision will be sought instead. The LPA reserves the right to reject any development viability claims without comprehensive supporting evidence being provided.
- 10.4 In all cases, it is recognised that some information necessary to demonstrate viability may be commercially sensitive. However, this is not a sufficient reason to avoid providing the appropriate evidence to the LPA and this information will be used solely to consider whether any deviation from Policy COM3 is justifiable.
- 10.5 There is a common viability appraisal model in use across the South East Wales Region known as the Burrows-Hutchinson Ltd Development Viability Model (DVM). The DVM has been created as a comprehensive, user-friendly model to assess the financial viability of development proposals. The LPA is able make the DVM available to applicants to appraise the financial viability of a proposed development and demonstrate any necessary deviation from Policy COM3. The primary inputs required to undertake a financial viability appraisal through the DVM are provided in Appendix B.
- 10.6 The DVM and user guide can be released to any applicant subject to the LPA receiving payment of a standard fee (set out in the Council's latest Fees and Charges Schedule). The fee is intended to cover the LPA's administrative costs of locking and distributing the model, verifying the completed appraisal and providing a high-level review to the applicant. However, payment of a fee will **not** guarantee a lower proportion of affordable housing will be deemed acceptable or directly result in the granting of planning permission. The fee will only enable the LPA to consider whether:

- a) the DVM has been completed correctly and appropriately;
- b) the evidence supplied to support the costs and values submitted is sufficient and proportionate;
- c) the suggested timescales for the development are realistic; and
- d) the appraisal accords with policy requirements of the RLDP and with other guidance and/or policy statements that are pertinent to the assessment of viability in a planning context.
- 10.7 The preliminary fee does not allow for any further time that an applicant might wish to spend debating the findings of the LPA's initial high-level review. It also does not allow for any officer time necessary to re-appraise subsequent submissions of the model and supporting evidence, which will be rechargeable. Alternative viability models can be used subject to prior agreement with the LPA. In the event of any unresolvable disputes, the LPA may need to draw upon expertise from a third party to act as an independent arbitrator. The costs associated with this must be met by the developer/applicant. For larger sites (of several hundred units), mixed-use developments or sites of a strategic scale, it may be more appropriate for an applicant to commission an independent arbitrator from the outset, following discussion with the LPA.

Appendix A –Transfer Values for Social Rented Dwellings

- 1.1 The values contained within Tables 1 and 2 below are derived from the 2021 Acceptable Cost Guidance (ACG) figures. A cumulative uplift has been applied for the four financial years since the last (and final) set of 'land and works' ACGs were published by Welsh Government (WG) in 2021. These 2021 ACGs are detailed within Table A, Annex A of the WG publication 'Acceptable Cost / On Costs for Use With Social Housing Grant Funded Housing in Wales', 2021 for self-contained general needs schemes of 11 homes or more. The 2021 ACG values have been uplifted by the following WG maximum social rent uplift caps per annum:
 - April 2022 3.1%
 - April 2023 6.5%
 - April 2024 6.7%
 - April 2025 2.7%
- 1.2 The nominated Registered Social Landlord (RSL) or the Council would purchase the social rented units for the respective Transfer Values detailed in Table 1 and 2 (depending on the number of homes planned within the overall development, respectively).
 These transfer values represent 42% of the uplifted ACG values, which reflects the longstanding grant intervention rate.
 Commuted sums would be payable at 58% of the uplifted ACG values, which reflects the level of subsidy the developer would effectively be required to provide on-site.

Table 1: Transfer Values for Developments of 10 Homes and Under

Housing Market Area(s)*	Dwelling Size	Dwelling Type	Uplifted ACG from 1 st April 2025	Transfer Values from 1 st April 2025 (42% of Uplifted ACG)	Commuted Sum Values from 1 st April 2025 (58% of Uplifted ACG)
 Ogmore Valley 	7 Person, 4 Bed	House	£295,990.82	£124,316.14	£171,674.68
 Garw Valley 	6 Person, 4 Bed	House	£281,431.92	£118,201.41	£163,230.52
(Former WG Band 1)	5 Person, 3 Bed	House	£240,763.27	£101,120.57	£139,642.69
(Former WG Band 1)	4 Person, 3 Bed	House	£225,602.76	£94,753.16	£130,849.60
	4 Person, 2 Bed	House	£214,172.22	£89,952.33	£124,219.89
	3 Person, 2 Bed	House	£205,749.72	£86,414.88	£119,334.84
	2 Person, 1 Bed	House	£162,674.63	£68,323.34	£94,351.29
	3 Person, 2 Bed	Bungalow	£190,228.25	£79,895.86	£110,332.38
	3 Person, 2 Bed	Flat	£188,182.78	£79,036.77	£109,146.01
	2 Person 1, Bed	Flat	£154,372.45	£64,836.43	£89,536.02
 Llynfi Valley 	7 Person, 4 Bed	House	£332,087.26	£139,476.65	£192,610.61
(E) N(O D 10)	6 Person, 4 Bed	House	£311,993.58	£131,037.30	£180,956.27
(Former WG Band 3)	5 Person, 3 Bed	House	£267,233.99	£112,238.28	£154,995.71
	4 Person, 3 Bed	House	£250,388.98	£105,163.37	£145,225.61
	4 Person, 2 Bed	House	£238,958.44	£100,362.55	£138,595.90
	3 Person, 2 Bed	House	£230,535.94	£96,825.09	£133,710.85
	2 Person, 1 Bed	House	£187,340.53	£78,683.02	£108,657.51
	3 Person, 2 Bed	Bungalow	£220,789.90	£92,731.76	£128,058.14
	3 Person, 2 Bed	Flat	£200,575.89	£84,241.88	£116,334.02
	2 Person 1, Bed	Flat	£165,442.02	£69,485.65	£95,956.37

Housing Market Area(s)*	Dwelling Size	Dwelling Type	Uplifted ACG from 1 st April 2025	Transfer Values from 1 st April 2025 (42% of Uplifted ACG)	Commuted Sum Values from 1 st April 2025 (58% of Uplifted ACG)
Bridgend	7 Person, 4 Bed	House	£356,151.56	£149,583.65	£206,567.90
 Porthcawl 	6 Person, 4 Bed	House	£332,327.91	£139,577.72	£192,750.19
 Pencoed 	5 Person, 3 Bed	House	£284,921.25	£119,666.92	£165,254.32
 Pyle, Kenfig 	4 Person, 3 Bed	House	£266,873.02	£112,086.67	£154,786.35
and Cornelly	4 Person, 2 Bed	House	£255,442.48	£107,285.84	£148,156.64
 Valleys Gateway 	3 Person, 2 Bed	House	£247,019.98	£103,748.39	£143,271.59
	2 Person, 1 Bed	House	£203,944.89	£85,656.86	£118,288.04
(Former WG Band 4)	3 Person, 2 Bed	Bungalow	£241,124.23	£101,272.18	£139,852.05
	3 Person, 2 Bed	Flat	£208,757.75	£87,678.26	£121,079.50
	2 Person 1, Bed	Flat	£172,781.63	£72,568.29	£100,213.35

^{*}The historic WG ACG bandings have been re-defined to reflect Bridgend County Borough's eight Housing Market Areas as referenced in the LHMA.

Table 2: Transfer Values for Developments of 11 Homes and Over

Housing Market Area(s)*	Dwelling Size	Dwelling Type	Uplifted ACG from 1 st April 2025	Transfer Values from 1 st April 2025 (42% of Uplifted ACG)	Commuted Sum Values from 1 st April 2025 (58% of Uplifted ACG)
 Ogmore Valley 	7 Person, 4 Bed	House	£272,407.81	£114,411.28	£157,996.53
 Garw Valley 	6 Person, 4 Bed	House	£258,570.84	£108,599.75	£149,971.09
(Former WG Band 1)	5 Person, 3 Bed	House	£221,271.19	£92,933.90	£128,337.29
(Former WG Band 1)	4 Person, 3 Bed	House	£207,313.90	£87,071.84	£120,242.06
	4 Person, 2 Bed	House	£196,966.25	£82,725.82	£114,240.42
	3 Person, 2 Bed	House	£189,025.03	£79,390.51	£109,634.52
	2 Person, 1 Bed	House	£149,559.59	£62,815.03	£86,744.56
	3 Person, 2 Bed	Bungalow	£175,669.35	£73,781.13	£101,888.22
	3 Person, 2 Bed	Flat	£172,180.03	£72,315.61	£99,864.42
	2 Person 1, Bed	Flat	£141,377.73	£59,378.65	£81,999.08
 Llynfi Valley 	7 Person, 4 Bed	House	£308,504.25	£129,571.79	£178,932.47
(E) N(O D 10)	6 Person, 4 Bed	House	£289,132.50	£121,435.65	£167,696.85
(Former WG Band 3)	5 Person, 3 Bed	House	£247,741.91	£104,051.60	£143,690.31
	4 Person, 3 Bed	House	£232,100.12	£97,482.05	£134,618.07
	4 Person, 2 Bed	House	£221,752.47	£93,136.04	£128,616.43
	3 Person, 2 Bed	House	£213,811.26	£89,800.73	£124,010.53
	2 Person, 1 Bed	House	£174,225.49	£73,174.71	£101,050.78
	3 Person, 2 Bed	Bungalow	£206,231.00	£86,617.02	£119,613.98
	3 Person, 2 Bed	Flat	£184,573.14	£77,520.72	£107,052.42
	2 Person 1, Bed	Flat	£152,447.30	£64,027.87	£88,419.44

Housing Market Area(s)*	Dwelling Size	Dwelling Type	Uplifted ACG from 1 st April 2025	Transfer Values from 1 st April 2025 (42% of Uplifted ACG)	Commuted Sum Values from 1 st April 2025 (58% of Uplifted ACG)
Bridgend	7 Person, 4 Bed	House	£332,568.55	£139,678.79	£192,889.76
 Porthcawl 	6 Person, 4 Bed	House	£309,466.83	£129,976.07	£179,490.76
• Pencoed	5 Person, 3 Bed	House	£265,429.17	£111,480.25	£153,948.92
 Pyle, Kenfig 	4 Person, 3 Bed	House	£248,584.16	£104,405.35	£144,178.81
and Cornelly	4 Person, 2 Bed	House	£238,236.51	£100,059.34	£138,177.18
 Valleys Gateway 	3 Person, 2 Bed	House	£230,295.30	£96,724.02	£133,571.27
	2 Person, 1 Bed	House	£190,829.85	£80,148.54	£110,681.32
(Former WG Band 4)	3 Person, 2 Bed	Bungalow	£226,565.33	£95,157.44	£131,407.89
	3 Person, 2 Bed	Flat	£192,755.00	£80,957.10	£111,797.90
	2 Person 1, Bed	Flat	£159,786.91	£67,110.50	£92,676.41

^{*}The historic WG ACG bandings have been re-defined to reflect Bridgend County Borough's eight Housing Market Areas as referenced in the LHMA.

Appendix B – Data Inputs Required for Financial Viability Appraisals

- 1) List of open market dwelling types, specifying for each one:
 - a) Number of bedrooms
 - b) Number of habitable rooms
 - c) Gross/net internal floor areas
 - d) Estimated open market value (freehold selling price) with supporting evidence
 - e) Total number of each dwelling type within the proposed development
- 2) List of affordable dwelling types, specifying for each one:
 - a) Number of bedrooms
 - b) Number of habitable rooms
 - c) Gross/net internal floor areas
 - d) Estimated open market value (unrestricted freehold selling price) for intermediate dwellings
 - e) Transfer values (with reference to Appendix A) for social rented dwellings
 - f) Total number of each dwelling type within the proposed development
- 3) Site layout plan for the development (outline, or detailed if available) with net developable areas and dwelling numbers for each element/phase of the proposed development.
- 4) Estimated construction and sales programmes for the development.
- 5) Details of current land ownership or details of the contractual terms and stage of transaction reached for its acquisition by the developer. This must include the land price paid (or, if estimated and not yet paid, the basis for that estimate) and allowance made for acquisition fees and Land Transaction Tax.
- 6) Planning costs and anticipated period before commencement of development (in months) after land acquisition has been completed.
- 7) Housing construction costs (plot costs), as a total sum or £/m², noting any additional allowance made for achieving compliance with forthcoming building regulations. Evidence must be provided to justify what these costs are based on.

- 8) Physical infrastructure costs, broken down between:
 - a) Off-site drainage, highway and/or other works, with detailed analysis/justification
 - b) Normal on-site costs for providing road access and services to individual plots (including "externals" such as detached garaging and landscaping, which may be assessed on a fixed average sum per dwelling, or as a percentage of plot costs)
 - c) Abnormal site costs (if any) with detailed analysis/justification
- 9) Allowance made for professional fees in connection with:
 - a) Planning and building regulations approvals
 - b) Housing construction costs
 - c) Physical infrastructure works
- 10) Estimated sum (or percentage allowance) for contingencies
- S106 contributions necessary to achieve full RLDP policy compliance and anticipated timing of payments
- 12) Sale and marketing costs for open market dwellings
- 13) Finance costs, including interest rate(s) applied, and the basis for their calculation
- 14) Details of any proposed non-residential uses, including gross external and net internal floor areas, together with estimated costs and revenues associated with those parts of the development. This will include, where available, estimated freehold and rental values for each element/unit, the investment yield(s) on which estimated freehold values have been based/calculated, and details of any prelets or forward sale arrangements.

